CSU Climate Assessment

• Purpose
  – Assess the current CSU climate

• 2018 Focus
  – Provide results to divisions/colleges and departments/units when possible

• Biennial assessment
  – Survey
  – Open ends
  – Open forums
  – Focus groups
CSU Climate Assessment

• Results
  – Provide an overall picture of CSU’s employment experiences and perceptions
  – Further CSU’s commitment to institutional accountability
  – Be actionable and incite dialogue
    • Inform policies, initiatives, and opportunities that will provide an exceptional and equitable work environment
  – Provide a benchmark for longitudinal data collection and comparison for perceptions of diversity
Methodology

- Administered via Qualtrics in Fall 2018
  - Spanish and hard copy versions available
  - 15 minutes to complete
  - Anonymous
  - Results are reported in aggregate and no identifying information reported
  - Emails sent by deans and vice presidents
## CSU Response Rate Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 (n = 2,150)</th>
<th>2016 (n = 2,191)</th>
<th>2018 (n = 4,058)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Employees</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td><strong>58.5%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Professional</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Classified</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Response Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment and Access</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate School or International Programs</td>
<td>80.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Advancement</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs-Health Network &amp; Wellness Programs</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs-All other units</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Relations</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Operations</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>56.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Provost &amp; Executive Vice President</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the President</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs-Housing and Dining</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Sciences</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Sciences</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Sciences</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner College of Natural Resources</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberal Arts</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Scott, Jr. College of Engineering</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (n = 4,058) 58.5%
Employee Characteristics

Gender:
- Woman, 61.0%
- Man, 37.1%
- T/NB/GNC, 1.9%

Race/Ethnicity:
- Non-Minoritized/White, 85.2%
- Minoritized Race/Ethnicity, 14.9%
  - American Indian or Alaskan Native, 0.6%
  - Asian, 2.7%
  - Black or African American, 1.3%
  - Hispanic or Latinx, 5.7%
  - Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, 0.3%
  - Multi-Racial, 4.3%
Employee Characteristics

**Employee Type**
- Administrative Professional, 50.9%
- Faculty, 24.3%
- State Classified, 23.5%
- Other, 1.3%

**Intersection of Race and Gender**
- White Men, 32.5%
- White Women, 53.3%
- Women of Color, 9.2%
- Men of Color, 5.0%
Survey Framework

- Culture
- Respect
- Favoritism
- Leadership & Accountability
- Misconduct
- Bias Incidents
- Principles of Community
- Employee Councils

- Freedom of Speech
- Perceptions of CSU and Department/Unit
- Discriminatory Attitudes
- Work Stressors
- Care Challenges
- Employee Characteristics
- Three words to describe your division/college culture
- Open ends

Items were asked on a five-point Likert 1 = Strongly Disagree and 5 = Strongly Agree
Culture Items

Department/Unit Culture

- I feel valued as an employee
- My dept. promotes a work environment where all employees feel included 64.7%
- My dept. treats all employees equitably 58.4%
- My dept. is open and transparent in communication
- My dept. values employee input in major department decisions
Average Perceptions for **Department/Unit Culture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=2128)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n=1293)</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/NB/GNC (n=65)</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-minoritized (n=2,883)</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minoritized (n=502)</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Pro (n=1800)</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty (n=860)</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC (n=830)</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Gender - Minoritized Race/Ethnicity - Employee Type**

---

**CSU Overall**
Culture

Department/Unit Culture: Intersection of Race and Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Men (n = 1063)</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men of Color (n = 163)</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Women (n = 1741)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women of Color (n = 301)</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSU Overall
Culture Items

Department/Unit Diversity Culture

- My department promotes respect for cultural differences 76.1%
- My department communicates the importance of valuing diversity 69.8%
- My department understands the value of diversity 57.3%
Average Perceptions for Department/Unit Diversity: Culture

- **Women (n=2128)**: 3.99
- **Men (n=1293)**: 4.07
- **T/NB/GNC (n=65)**: 3.75
- **Non-minoritized (n=2,883)**: 4.05
- **Minoritized (n=502)**: 3.90
- **Admin Pro (n=1800)**: 4.07
- **Faculty (n=860)**: 3.95
- **SC (n=830)**: 3.92

CSU Overall
Culture

Department/Unit Diversity Culture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Men (n = 1063)</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men of Color (n = 163)</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Women (n = 1741)</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women of Color (n = 301)</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

CSU Overall
Culture Items

Sense of Belonging

Strongly Agree/Agree

- I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit 71.4%
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU 62.4%
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college 55.4%
Average Perceptions for Sense of Belonging

- Women (n=2128): 3.71
- Men (n=1293): 3.71
- T/NB/GNC (n=65): 3.58
- Non-minoritized (n=2,883): 3.71
- Minoritized (n=502): 3.75
- Admin Pro (n=1800): 3.76
- Faculty (n=860): 3.64
- SC (n=830): 3.58

---

CSU Overall
Culture

Sense of Belonging: Intersection of Race and Gender

- White Men (n = 1063): 3.70
- Men of Color (n = 163): 3.91
- White Women (n = 1741): 3.72
- Women of Color (n = 301): 3.72

CSU Overall: 3.72
Culture: Intersection of Race and Employee Type

- Sense of Belonging
  - AP Minoritized (n=236): 3.80
  - Faculty Minoritized (n=684): 3.76
  - SC Minoritized (n=630): 3.69

- Department/Unit Culture
  - AP Minoritized (n=236): 3.71
  - Faculty Minoritized (n=684): 3.62
  - SC Minoritized (n=630): 3.39

- Department/Unit Diversity Culture
  - AP Minoritized (n=236): 4.01
  - Faculty Minoritized (n=684): 3.71
  - SC Minoritized (n=630): 3.85
Respect

My department/office is treated with respect by other departments/offices within my division/college: 64.3%

My division/college is treated with respect by CSU: 67.0%

The people I interact with treat each other with respect: 78.9%

There is respect for religious differences in my department/office: 71.7%

There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/office: 79.0%

There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/office: 55.1%
Favoritism Items

- Favoritism plays a role in who gets recognized within my department/office 37.9%
- Favoritism plays a role in who gets resources in my department/office
- Favoritism plays a role in who gets professional development opportunities
- Favoritism plays a role in who gets promoted in my department/office 32.3%
- Favoritism plays a role in who gets hired in my department/office 25.6%
Favoritism

Average Perceptions for Favoritism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=2128)</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n=1293)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/NB/GNC (n=65)</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-minoritized (n=2,883)</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minoritized (n=502)</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Pro (n=1800)</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty (n=860)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC (n=830)</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSU Overall
Favoritism

Favoritism: Intersection of Race and Gender

- **White Men** (n = 1063): 2.61
- **Men of Color** (n = 163): 2.85
- **White Women** (n = 1741): 2.80
- **Women of Color** (n = 301): 2.83

CSU Overall: 2.82
Favoritism: Intersection of Race and Employee Type

- AP Minoritized (n= 236) - 2.66
- Faculty Minoritized (n= 684) - 2.98
- SC Minoritized (n= 630) - 3.10
Leadership and Accountability Items

Items asked each for Division and Department/Unit

- Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace
- Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior
- Leadership addresses issues of inequity
- Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior
- Leaders hold all employees to the same standards
- Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Division/College</th>
<th>Dept./Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership addresses issues of inequity</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaders hold all employees to the same standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Leadership & Accountability

Average Perceptions for College/Division Leadership's Accountability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=2128)</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n=1293)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/NB/GNC (n=65)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-minoritized (n=2,883)</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minoritized (n=502)</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Pro (n=1800)</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty (n=860)</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC (n=830)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSU Overall
## Leadership & Accountability

### Average Perceptions for Department/Unit Leadership's Accountability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Women (n=2128)</th>
<th>Men (n=1293)</th>
<th>T/NB/GNC (n=65)</th>
<th>Non-minoritized (n=2,883)</th>
<th>Minoritized (n=502)</th>
<th>Admin Pro (n=1800)</th>
<th>Faculty (n=860)</th>
<th>SC (n=830)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

CSU Overall
Leadership & Accountability

Leadership's Accountability: Intersection of Race and Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Department/Unit Leadership</th>
<th>College/Division Leadership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Men (n=1063)</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men of Color (n=163)</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Women (n=1741)</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women of Color (n=301)</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 = Strongly Disagree
5 = Strongly Agree
Leadership & Accountability

Division and Unit Leadership's Accountability: Intersection of Race and Employee Type

- Strongly Disagree
- Strongly Agree

Department/Unit Leadership
- AP Minoritized (n=236)
- Faculty Minoritized (n=684)
- SC Minoritized (n=630)

College/Division Leadership
- AP Minoritized (n=236)
- Faculty Minoritized (n=684)
- SC Minoritized (n=630)
Sexual Harassment: 6.3%
Sexual Misconduct: 1.9%
Bullying: 13.3%
Bias: 28.3%
Physical Assault: 0.6%
Verbal Assault: 7.2%

Misconduct at CSU:
- Sexual Harassment: 1.9%
- Sexual Misconduct: 3.0%
- Bullying: 12.4%
- Bias: 23.3%
- Physical Assault: 0.3%
- Verbal Assault: 7.0%
There are people at CSU I avoid because I fear:

**Misconduct**

- **SEXUAL MISCONDUCT**
  - CSU Overall: 1.0%
  - Women: 1.3%
  - T/NB/GNC: 6.3%
  - Minoritized: 2.4%

- **SEXUAL HARASSMENT**
  - CSU Overall: 2.5%
  - Women: 3.4%
  - T/NB/GNC: 9.4%
  - Minoritized: 5.4%

- **BULLYING**
  - CSU Overall: 16.7%
  - Women: 18.4%
  - T/NB/GNC: 29.7%
  - Minoritized: 20.1%

- **BIAS**
  - CSU Overall: 20.0%
  - Women: 20.2%
  - T/NB/GNC: 37.5%
  - Minoritized: 30.2%

- **VERBAL ASSAULT**
  - CSU Overall: 11.0%
  - Women: 11.8%
  - T/NB/GNC: 21.9%
  - Minoritized: 13.1%
I am alarmed about the number of bias incidents reported at CSU

The number of bias incidents have increased at CSU in the past year

CSU handles incidents of bias well

The university is transparent in reporting bias incidents at CSU

I find it is worthwhile to know about bias incidents at CSU
Within my department/office, the Principles of Community (POC) are visible in my daily working environment. I feel the POC have made a positive impact on the climate in my department/office. I feel the POC have made a positive impact on the climate in my division/college.

- I am familiar with the Principles of Community: 77.20%
- Within my department/office, the POC are visible in my daily working environment: 58.40%
- I feel the POC have made a positive impact on the climate in my department/office: 35.90%
- I feel the POC have made a positive impact on the climate in my division/college: 38.40%

The survey responses range from Strongly Disagree/Disagree (0%) to Strongly Agree/Agree (100%).
Freedom of Speech

- Free speech is an important issue on campus: 89.5%
- My division/college supports people speaking freely: 66.7%
- I have the skills to navigate free speech questions on campus: 59.8%
- I know who to ask/where to go if I have questions about free speech: 50.3%
- Issues related to freedom of speech impact my work: 33.5%

[Strongly Agree/Agree]
Perception Items

Items asked each for CSU and Department/Unit

- I would recommend as a place of employment
- Encourages discussions related to diversity
- Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds
- Provides employees with a positive work experience
- Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds
- Improves the campus climate for all employees
- Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees
- Retains diverse employees
## Perceptions of CSU

### Average Perceptions of CSU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=2128)</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n=1293)</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/NB/GNC (n=65)</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-minoritized (n=2,883)</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minoritized (n=502)</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Pro (n=1800)</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty (n=860)</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC (n=830)</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Gender**

- Women (n=2128)
- Men (n=1293)

**Minoritized Race/Ethnicity**

- Non-minoritized (n=2,883)
- Minoritized (n=502)

**Employee Type**

- Admin Pro (n=1800)
- Faculty (n=860)
- SC (n=830)

---

**CSU Overall**
Perceptions of Department/Unit

Average Perceptions of Department/Unit

- Women (n=2128): 3.64
- Men (n=1293): 3.76
- T/NB/GNC (n=65): 3.28
- Non-minoritized (n=2,883): 3.71
- Minoritized (n=502): 3.58
- Admin Pro (n=1800): 3.73
- Faculty (n=860): 3.65
- SC (n=830): 3.56

---

CSU Overall
Perceptions of CSU and Department/Unit

Perceptions: Intersection of Race and Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CSU Perceptions</th>
<th>Department/Unit Perceptions</th>
<th>CSU's University Overall</th>
<th>CSU Dept. Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Men (n = 1063)</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men of Color (n = 163)</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Women (n = 1741)</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women of Color (n = 301)</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Perceptions of CSU and Department/Unit

CSU and Dept Perceptions: Intersection of Race and Employee Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AP Minoritized (n= 236)</th>
<th>Faculty Minoritized (n= 684)</th>
<th>SC Minoritized (n= 630)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSU Perceptions</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department/Unit</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CSU recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds.

CSU improves the campus climate for all employees.

CSU retains diverse employees.

CSU creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds.

CSU encourages discussions related to diversity.

CSU provides employees with a positive work experience.

CSU climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees.

I would recommend CSU as a place of employment.

Percentage of respondent agreement to items related to CSU perceptions over time:

- 2014:
  - 57% Strongly Agree/Agree
  - 67%

- 2016:
  - 61%
  - 63%

- 2018:
  - 75%
  - 77%

CSU Perceptions over Time
Department/Unit Perceptions over Time

Percentage of respondent agreement to items related to Department/Unit perceptions over time

- I would recommend my department/office as a place of employment
- Department/office climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees
- Department/office provides employees with a positive work experience
- Department/office encourages discussions related to diversity
- Department/office creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds
- Department/office retains diverse employees
- Department/office improves the campus climate for all employees
- Department/office recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds

Percentage of respondents for each year:
- 2014
- 2016
- 2018

Color codes:
- Red: 2014
- Orange: 2016
- Green: 2018

Example: 2014: 56% Strongly Agree/Agree; 2016: 59% Strongly Agree/Agree; 2018: 62% Strongly Agree/Agree
Discriminatory Attitudes

- Perceived Discriminatory Attitudes in Department/Office:
  - 36% indicated no discriminatory attitudes present
  - 31% Job title
  - 29% Employment classification
  - 20% Political Affiliation
  - 19% Age
  - 17% Gender
Key Findings

• Gender
  – Women had less favorable perceptions on all factors except their overall CSU perceptions and sense of belonging compared to men
    • Less favorable perceptions of department’s culture and diversity culture, division’s and department’s leadership accountability, favoritism and general department perceptions
  – Respondents with an identity categorized as Transgender/Non-Binary/Gender Non Conforming had less favorable perceptions for all factors except Sense of Belonging and more concerns related to misconduct

• Race/Ethnicity
  – Respondents of a minoritized race/ethnicity had less favorable perceptions of their department and CSU, favoritism, and their department’s diversity culture compared to respondents of non-minoritized race/ethnicity
  – Respondents of a minoritized race/ethnicity had more concerns related to misconduct, particularly bias
Key Findings

• Employee Type
  – State Classified respondents had less favorable perceptions for all factors and for the majority of items related to respect compared to Administrative Professional respondents
  – Faculty respondents had significantly lower perceptions of CSU compared to State Classified and Administrative Professional respondents

• Misconduct
  – Close to a quarter of respondents perceive bias as problematic in their college
  – 12% perceive bullying and 7% perceive verbal assault as problematic in their department
  – Respondents who identify as T/NB/GNC or with a minoritized race/ethnicity reported avoiding people more due to misconduct in all areas compared to the average CSU respondent
How do the findings relate to the Multicultural Organization Development (MCOD)?
More Information

Please visit VPD’s 2018 Employee Climate Survey Website: https://diversity.colostate.edu/2018-employee-climate-survey/

• Download CSU Report
• Download Division Report
• Download Employee Type Report
• Special division reports
• Coming Soon:
  – Open end reports
  – Intersectionality reports
  – Open forum reports
  – Focus group reports

• Report Contents:
  – Item percentages
  – Mean comparisons by employee characteristics at the item and factor level
  – College comparisons to CSU at the item and factor level
    • Each item is noted as being higher, similar, or lower than the CSU average
Thank you for your participation

https://diversity.colostate.edu/2018-employee-climate-survey/